THE 8-UNIVERSALITY CRITERION IS UNIQUE

SCOTT DUKE KOMINERS

ABSTRACT. Using the methods developed for the proof that the 2-universality criterion is unique, we partially characterize criteria for the *n*-universality of positive-definite integer-matrix quadratic forms. We then obtain the uniqueness of Oh's 8-universality criterion as an application of our characterization results.

1. Introduction

A degree-two homogenous polynomial in n independent variables is called a quadratic form (or just form) of rank n. For a rank-n quadratic form $Q(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x_i x_j$ (where $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$), the matrix given by $L = (a_{ij})$ is the Gram Matrix of a \mathbb{Z} -lattice L equipped with a symmetric bilinear form $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ such that $B(L, L) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $Q(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T L \mathbf{x} = B(L \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

A rank-n quadratic form Q is said to represent an integer k if there exists an $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $Q(\mathbf{x}) = k$. More generally, a \mathbb{Z} -lattice L represents another \mathbb{Z} -lattice ℓ if there exists a \mathbb{Z} -linear, bilinear form-preserving injection $\ell \to L$. A quadratic form is called universal if it represents all positive integers. Analogously, a lattice is called n-universal if it represents all positive-definite integer-matrix rank-n quadratic forms. Connecting these two notions of universality, we observe that a rank-n quadratic form Q is universal if and only if it is 1-universal, as for an integer k,

$$k = Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) \iff Q(x_1 x, \dots, x_n x) = kx^2.$$

In 1993, Conway and Schneeberger announced their celebrated *Fifteen Theo*rem, giving a criterion characterizing the universal positive-definite integer-matrix quadratic forms. Specifically, they showed that any positive-definite integer-matrix form which represents the set of nine critical numbers

$$S_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 14, 15\}$$

is universal (see [C2, Bh]). Kim, Kim, and Oh [KKO2] presented an analogous criterion for 2-universality, showing that a positive-definite integer-matrix lattice is 2-universal if and only if it represents the set of forms

$$\mathcal{S}_2 = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{array}\right) \right\}.$$

Oh [Oh] gave a similar criterion for 8-universality, which we state in Theorem 3 of Section 4.

Date: November 12, 2018.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11E20, 11E25.

Key words and phrases. n-universal lattice, 8-universal lattice, universality criteria, quadratic forms, additively indecomposable.

The author was supported by a Harvard Mathematics Department Highbridge Fellowship.

A set S of rank-n lattices having the property that a lattice L is n-universal if and only if L represents every lattice in S is called an n-criterion set. Thus, for example, the set S_2 obtained by Kim, Kim, and Oh [KKO2] is a 2-criterion set and the set S_1 found by Conway [C2] naturally gives the 1-criterion set

$$\{x^2, 2x^2, 3x^2, 5x^2, 6x^2, 7x^2, 10x^2, 14x^2, 15x^2\}$$
.

The set S_1 of the Fifteen Theorem is known to be unique (see [KKO1]), in the sense that if S_1' is a set of integers such that a quadratic form is universal if and only if it represents the full set S_1' , then $S_1 \subseteq S_1'$. The author [Ko] recently obtained an analogous uniqueness result for the 2-criterion set S_2 .

Kim, Kim, and Oh [KKO1] have proven that n-criterion sets exist for all positive integers n. However, the problems of finding and determining the uniquenesses of criterion sets have both proven to be difficult (see [KKO1]). Here, we advance both problems: We obtain the first characterization results for arbitrary n-criterion sets, from which we obtain the uniqueness of Oh's 8-universality criterion as a corollary.

2. Notations and Terminology

We use the lattice-theoretic language of quadratic form theory. A complete introduction to this approach may be found in [O'M].

For a \mathbb{Z} -lattice (or hereafter, just *lattice*) L with basis $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$, we write $L \cong \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_n$. If L is of the form $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$ for sublattices L_1 , L_2 of L and $B(L_1, L_2) = 0$ then we write $L \cong L_1 \perp L_2$ and say that L_1 and L_2 are orthogonal.

For a sublattice ℓ of $L_1 \perp L_2$ which can be expressed in the form

$$\ell \cong \mathbb{Z}(\mathbf{x}_{1,1} + \mathbf{x}_{2,1}) + \dots + \mathbb{Z}(\mathbf{x}_{1,n} + \mathbf{x}_{2,n})$$

with $\mathbf{x}_{i,j} \in L_i$, we denote $\ell(L_i) := \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_{i,1} + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_{i,n}$. We naturally extend this notation to lattices ℓ represented by $L_1 \perp L_2$. We then say that a lattice is additively indecomposable if either $\ell(L_1) \cong 0$ or $\ell(L_2) \cong 0$ whenever $L_1 \perp L_2$ represents ℓ . Otherwise, we say that ℓ is additively decomposable.

Finally, we use the lattice notation of Conway [C1]. In particular, I_n is the rank-n lattice of the form $\langle 1, \ldots, 1 \rangle$ and E_8 is the unique even unimodular lattice of rank 8.

3. Characterization Results for n-criterion Sets

In this section, we prove two results which partially characterize the contents of arbitrary n-criterion sets.

Proposition 1. Any n-criterion set must include the lattice I_n .

Proof. If \mathcal{T} is a finite, nonempty set of rank-n lattices not containing I_n , then every lattice $T \in \mathcal{T}$ may be written in the form $T \cong I_k \perp T'$, where $0 \leq k < n$, the sublattice T' is of rank n - k, and the first minimum of T' is larger than 1. Indeed, any I_k -sublattice of T is unimodular and therefore splits T; the condition on T' follows from Minkowski reduction.

We may therefore write \mathcal{T} in the form

$$\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \{ I_k \bot T_{k,i} \}_{i=0}^{i_k} ,$$

where $0 < |\mathcal{T}| = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} i_k$ and each $T_{k,i}$ is a rank-(n-k) lattice with first minimum greater than 1. Then, the lattice

$$I_{n-1} \perp \left(\left(\perp_{i=0}^{i_1} T_{0,i} \right) \perp \cdots \perp \left(\perp_{i=0}^{i_{n-1}} T_{n-1,i} \right) \right)$$

represents all of \mathcal{T} but has does not represent I_n . It follows that \mathcal{T} is not an n-criterion set, so any n-criterion set must contain I_n .

Proposition 2. Let \mathcal{E} be the set of additively indecomposable lattices of rank n. If $|\mathcal{E}| > 0$, then any n-criterion set must include at least one lattice $E \in \mathcal{E}$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{T} = \{T_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is a finite, nonempty set of rank-n lattices with $\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{E} = \emptyset$, then every lattice $T_i \in \mathcal{T}$ is additively decomposable. It follows that the lattice

$$T_1 \perp \cdots \perp T_k$$

represents all of \mathcal{T} but does not represent any lattice in \mathcal{E} , since $T_1 \perp \cdots \perp T_k$ has no rank-n additively indecomposable sublattices. Thus, \mathcal{T} is not an n-criterion set. It then follows that any n-criterion set must contain some lattice $E \in \mathcal{E}$.

Remark. It is clear that direct analogues of these two propositions hold in the more general setting of S-universal lattices discussed in [KKO1]. In particular, suppose that S is an infinite set of lattices. Then, if $n = \max\{k : I_k \in S\} > 0$, any finite set $S_S \subset S$ with the property that a lattice L represents every lattice $\ell \in S$ if and only if L represents every $\ell \in S_S$ must contain I_n . Similarly, such a set S_S must contain an additively indecomposable lattice if S does.

4. Uniqueness of the 8-criterion Set

Oh obtained the following 8-criterion set in [Oh, remark on Theorem 3.1]:

Theorem 3 (Oh). The set $S_8 = \{I_8, E_8\}$ is an 8-criterion set.

The set S_8 is clearly a minimal 8-criterion set, as for each $\ell \in S_8$ there is a lattice which represents $S_8 \setminus \ell$ but does not represent ℓ . (The single lattice in $S_8 \setminus \ell$ suffices.) Meanwhile, our characterization results imply the following corollary which strengthens Theorem 3:

Corollary 4. Every 8-criterion set must contain S_8 as a subset.

Proof. Since E_8 is the unique additively indecomposable lattice of rank 8, the result follows directly from Propositions 1 and 2.

Corollary 4, when combined with Theorem 3, shows that S_8 is the unique minimal 8-criterion set.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Pablo Azar, Noam D. Elkies, Andrea Hawksley, and Paul M. Kominers for their helpful comments and suggestions on the work and on earlier drafts of this article.

References

- [Bh] M. Bhargava: On the Conway-Schneeberger fifteen theorem, In: E. Bayer-Fluckiger, D. Lewis, A. Ranicki, eds: Contemp. Math. 272, Mathematical Association of America, Washington DC, (2000), 27–37.
- [C1] J. H. CONWAY: Low dimensional lattices. I. Quadratic forms of small determinant, Proc. Royal. Soc. Lond. A. 418 (1988), 17–41.
- [C2] J. H. CONWAY: Universal quadratic forms and the fifteen theorem, In: E. Bayer-Fluckiger, D. Lewis, A. Ranicki, eds: Contemp. Math. 272 Mathematical Association of America, Washington DC, (2000), 23–26.
- [KKO1] B. M. Kim, M.-H. Kim, B.-K. Oh: A finiteness theorem for representability of integral quadratic forms by forms, J. Reine Angew. Math., 581, (2005), 23–30.
- [KKO2] B. M. Kim, M.-H. Kim, B.-K. Oh: 2-universal positive definite integral quinary quadratic forms, In: M.-H. Kim, J. S. Hsia, Y. Kitaoka, R. Schulze-Pillot, eds: Contemp. Math. 249, Mathematical Association of America, Washington DC, (1999), 51–62.
- [Ko] S. D. KOMINERS: Uniqueness of the 2-universality criterion, forthcoming, *Note Mat.* 28(2), (2008). (Preprint, arXiv:0708.4340.)
- [Oh] B.-K. Oh: Universal Z-lattices of minimal rank, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128(3), (1999), 683–689.
- [O'M] O. T. O'MEARA: Introduction to Quadratic Forms, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.

Department of Mathematics, Harvard University c/o 8520 Burning Tree Road, Bethesda, MD 20817

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: kominers@fas.harvard.edu}$